

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,781	08/17/2006	Stephan Gosch	5255-94PUS	9556
27799 COHEN, PON	7590 10/30/200 TANI, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AVENUE			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK,	NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2.1. 1014.,		. 5	3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/572,781	GOSCH, STEPHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Estremsky	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the second will expire SIX (6) MONTAINED to the course ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15-23</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		nlination No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	·					
application from the International Burea	*	cocived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>hereto</u> .	, man	ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/572,781

Art Unit: 3673

Ē,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-13, 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,506,407 to Downey.

Downey '407 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "sliding rail" - , "at least one upper chamber" – including left-most portions of 11 as shown in Fig 2, "at least one lower chamber" – including portions to the right of 71, a "power supply" – including 71, a "displaceable slide member" – including 26, a "holding mechanism" – including 41, a "retaining mechanism" – including 42,43.

As regards claim 12, as shown in Fig 2, conductor lines 72,73, include parallel portions adjacent 71 that read on broad limitation.

As regards claim 13, engaging portions of 42,43 read on broad limitation for "spring loaded contact pins" where limitation of "cooperate with" doesn't define any particular structure that can be relied upon to patentably distinguish over the functional relationship established between power of the conductor lines and movement of 42,43. The law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference

"teach" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "prior art," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "fully met" by it. *Kalman v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 789.

As regards claim 16, part 66 reads on "stopper" where again limitation of "cooperating with" does not define any particular structure that can be relied upon to patentably distinguish from the functional relationship of the prior art elements.

As regards claim 19, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the functional holding force of 66 is inherently stronger than the spring arrangement provided by 38.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Pat. No. 5,072,973 to Gudgel.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,781

Art Unit: 3673

10/3/2,/01

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on T,W,Th,F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673